## Mozula Technologies

## Order Shipment Box Size Analysis Report for XXXX

## Summary Report of Box Size Analysis

Goal:
Perform Order Data Analysis on XXXX's historical orders to determine optimal shipping carton type/size assortment mix. Goals of the analysis are :- a) Reduce DIM weight, (b) Increase Cube Utilization, and (c) Reduce Ship volume.

## Analysis Rules \& Restrictions:

This analysis was conducted within the limitations specified, as follows-
Minimum Dimensions: $7 \times 5 \times 3$
Maximum Dimensions: $32 \times 22 \times 15$
Number of Boxes < 30

## Recommended Solution:

Box size solution with 25 different box sizes with Key Performance Metrics :-

- Average Cube Utilization Per Box of 57.6\%
- \% of Boxes with DIM Wt > Actual Wt $=83 \%$
- Total Ship (Box) Volume of 1,435,253 kilo-cubic inches

In comparison to the original Box assortment, the recommended solution will lead to:-

- 11\% increase in Average Cube Utilization Per Box
- $9 \%$ decrease in boxes with DIM wt charges
- 8.8\% Reduction in Total Ship Volume

Note: The smallest box size $(7 \times 5 \times 3)$ is the limiting factor in further improving the Cube Utilization. The average Cube Utilization of this box is 30\%, while being the most used box (mix @ 8\%).

## Key Performance Metrics

| Overall Metrics \& Comparison: Recommended vs. Original Box Sizes |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Key Performance Metrics | Original Box <br> Sizes <br> (23 Boxes) | Recommended <br> (26 Boxes) | \% Improvement <br> Reco vs Orig |
| Average Cube Utilization Per Box | $51.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6 \%}$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Total Cube Utilization \% | $60.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 9 \%}$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| \% of Boxes with DIM Wt > ACT Wt | $91.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 4 \%}$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Average Dim Weight per Box (Ibs/Box) | 16.89 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0}$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total Ship Volume ('000 cubic inches) | $1,561,253$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 5 , 2 5 3}$ | $8.8 \%$ |

Total Cube Utilization \% = (Total SKU Volume / Total Box Volume)
Average Cube Utilization \% Per Box = Weighted Average of Cube Util \% Per Box in the Box Assortment selected


## Recommended Box Sizes: Dimensions, Mix and Cube Ufilization

| Box Name | Dim_1 | Dim_2 | Dim_3 | Box Usage Mix | Cube Utilization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box_01 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 8.1\% | 30.0\% |
| Box_02 | 10 | 8 | 7.5 | 5.3\% | 51.0\% |
| Box_03 | 9 | 8 | 5.8 | 7.8\% | 44.0\% |
| Box_04 | 12.8 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 5.5\% | 55.5\% |
| Box_05 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 3.7\% | 67.9\% |
| Box_06 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 8 | 1.0\% | 70.0\% |
| Box_07 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 6.0\% | 67.5\% |
| Box_08 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 4.7\% | 78.2\% |
| Box_09 | 18 | 12 | 10 | 5.1\% | 63.8\% |
| Box_10 | 19 | 13 | 11 | 5.0\% | 68.3\% |
| Box_11 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 2.4\% | 65.0\% |
| Box_12 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 1.2\% | 72.9\% |
| Box_13 | 21 | 16 | 12 | 1.6\% | 70.6\% |
| Box_14 | 23 | 16 | 8 | 3.7\% | 63.9\% |
| Box_15 | 23 | 18 | 13 | 4.7\% | 65.0\% |
| Box_16 | 24.125 | 18.125 | 10.125 | 2.9\% | 56.5\% |
| Box_17 | 24 | 13.8 | 3.3 | 7.1\% | 62.2\% |
| Box_18 | 26 | 19 | 15 | 1.5\% | 67.2\% |
| Box_19 | 27.5 | 21.5 | 11.5 | 4.8\% | 47.0\% |
| Box_20 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 1.0\% | 71.0\% |
| Box_21 | 29 | 21 | 16 | 1.1\% | 56.6\% |
| Box_22 | 29.375 | 23.5 | 22.5 | 2.6\% | 68.0\% |
| Box_23 | 31.625 | 22 | 17 | 0.8\% | 68.4\% |
| Box_24 | 31.3 | 10.5 | 5.5 | 1.9\% | 45.6\% |
| Box_25 | 16.3 | 7.5 | 6 | 4.4\% | 51.1\% |
| Box_26 | 27.5 | 13 | 7 | 6.4\% | 55.0\% |
| Total Cube Utilization \% |  |  |  |  | 65.9\% |
| Average Cube Utilization Per Box |  |  |  |  | 57.6\% |

